

# Arboricultural Impact Assessment Arboricultural Method Statement Tree Protection Plan

# WESTHIDE SOLAR SITE, HEREFORDSHIRE



On behalf of

Ersun (Westhide SPV) Ltd

Sue Rankine BSc (hons), Dip Arb L4, TechArborA Arboricultural Consultant

December 2021

Version No	Checked by	Date
1.0	AR	07/12/2021



#### **1.0 Introduction**

#### 1.1 Brief

This report is prepared by Hillside Trees Ltd on behalf of Ersun (Westhide SPV) Ltd.

#### **1.2 Purpose of the Report**

- **1.2.0** This report is intended to accompany a planning application relating to proposed development at Westhide. This document has been produced to demonstrate that the implications of the proposed development in relation to the arboricultural and landscape value of the trees on the site have been fully considered during the detailed design process.
- **1.2.1** This report and the accompanying information is supplied in order to:
  - Identify trees to be removed and those to be retained and requiring protection during the site preparation and construction phase of the project.
  - Present information regarding the location of protective barriers (Construction Exclusion Zones).
  - Identify special engineering measures
  - Provide a Detailed Arboricultural Method Statement for the recommended works related to trees to be retained during and after the development.

#### **1.3** Documents Provided to Hillside Trees Ltd.

- Topographic Survey. Healer Surveys Job No. P3372
- Masterplan. The Landmark Practice Drawing No. 3352\_L\_GA\_0\_01 Revision F

#### **1.4** Tree Survey Methodology

- **1.4.1** A tree survey was undertaken on 30<sup>th</sup> March 2021 by an Arboricultural Consultant of Hillside Trees Ltd.
- **1.4.2** The survey took place from ground level aided by the Visual Tree Assessment method (Mattheck and Breloer, 1994).

2

Hillside Trees Ltd 2 Hillside, Bowden Hill, Chilcompton, Radstock, BA3 4EN Tel: 01761 233244 E: enquiries@hillsidetrees.co.uk

Directors: A Rankine BSc (Forestry), Tech Cert (Arbor A), ProfArborA, S J Rankine BSc (Hons), Dip Arb L4, TechArborA

**1.4.3** This survey is not a tree risk assessment but takes into account any observed structural defects of the trees in order to inform conclusions with regard to their retentive worth.

#### **1.5 Data Collection**

**1.5.1** Data collected includes designated tree number, tree species, height, number of stems, stem diameter, crown clearance (height of periphery of crown spread above ground level), branch spread (to N, S, E and W), age class, physiological condition, useful life expectancy, tree structural condition, site notes (where this has a bearing on the present or future health or structural condition of the tree), and tree category.

#### **1.6 Presentation of the Data Collected**

- **1.6.1** Data collected regarding individual trees and groups of trees are presented in the Tree Schedule table in Appendix A in accordance with BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations'. The tree schedule also gives scientific names for all trees mentioned in the report.
- **1.6.2** The data significant to the proposed site layout is also presented on the Tree Protection Plans Drawing Numbers 211203-WSS-TPP-SD-SO1 and 211203-WSS-TPP-SD-SO2 contained within the Detailed Arboricultural Method Statement (Appendix B).
- **1.6.3** All other relevant data are presented within the main body of this report.
- **1.6.4** Trees have been allocated an individual tree number. This tree number is used to identify individual trees and groups of trees throughout this report, within the Tree Schedule and on the Tree Protection Plan presented in the appendices of this report.

#### **2.0** Arboricultural Constraints

An assessment of the trees surveyed presented in the Tree Schedule table in Appendix A, is also considered in the main body of the report below.

An Arboricultural Impact Assessment Plan has been produced showing the root protection areas (RPAs) for the individual trees identified in the Tree Schedule (Appendix A). This represents the minimum area in  $m^2$  which, ideally should be left undisturbed around each tree were it to be retained. The RPA has been calculated in accordance with Section 4.6 of BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations'.

The Arboricultural Impact Assessment Plan also shows a representation of the crown spread of each tree measured in four cardinal directions.

3

Hillside Trees Ltd 2 Hillside, Bowden Hill, Chilcompton, Radstock, BA3 4EN Tel: 01761 233244 E: enquiries@hillsidetrees.co.uk

Directors: A Rankine BSc (Forestry), Tech Cert (Arbor A), ProfArborA, S J Rankine BSc (Hons), Dip Arb L4, TechArborA

The preparation of the Arboricultural Impact Assessment Plan described above has assisted in the design of the site layout through presenting the above and below ground constraints posed to the development of the site by the trees present.

A search of Herefordshire Council's online mapping service on 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2021 to enquire if any of the trees within the site are subject to Tree Preservation Orders or if the site falls within a Conservation Area confirmed that no part of the site relating to trees is currently under statutory control.

#### 2.1 Trees Identified for Retention and Removal

The proposed development involves the construction of a solar farm with associated access and ecological and landscape enhancement measures

All trees, groups of trees and woodlands on site will be retained with the exception of the following 6 which will be removed:

Tree nos	Common name	Total					
T9, T16, T18, T30, T32, T60	Ash	6					
T	Total number removed						

#### 2.2 Mitigation

An extensive landscaping scheme has been drawn up which includes new tree and hedge planting, species rich grassland and the construction of hibernacula where trees are being removed.

#### 2.3 Trees Outside The Site Boundary

There are no trees outside the site boundary which are affected within the current proposals.

#### **3.0** Tree Protection

The trees to be retained on site during and after development as referred to in Section 2.1 will require protection.

Below ground protection measures based on the RPA's presented in the Arboricultural Impact Assessment Plan, will involve the erection of tree protection barriers as discussed in the Detailed Arboricultural Method Statement (Appendix B). Where the proposed site layout requires the breaching of these ideal areas, measures are recommended in order to minimise the damage to the roots and the root environment of the tree in question. Such measures acknowledge the fact that the extent, distribution and actual position of roots of a tree within the RPA are not known.

4

Hillside Trees Ltd 2 Hillside, Bowden Hill, Chilcompton, Radstock, BA3 4EN Tel: 01761 233244 E: enquiries@hillsidetrees.co.uk

Directors: A Rankine BSc (Forestry), Tech Cert (Arbor A), ProfArborA, S J Rankine BSc (Hons), Dip Arb L4, TechArborA

5

#### REFERENCES

Mattheck, C. and Breloer, H. (1995). The Body Language of Trees: A handbook for failure analysis. Research for Amenity Trees 4. HMSO, London, 240pp.

#### **STANDARDS PUBLICATIONS**

Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations (BS5837), British Standards Institution, London (2012)

Tree Work Recommendations (BS3998), British Standards Institution, London (2010)

Hillside Trees Ltd 2 Hillside, Bowden Hill, Chilcompton, Radstock, BA3 4EN Tel: 01761 233244 E: enquiries@hillsidetrees.co.uk

Directors: A Rankine BSc (Forestry), Tech Cert (Arbor A), ProfArborA, S J Rankine BSc (Hons), Dip Arb L4, TechArborA

6



# **Tree Schedule**

 Table 1 Cascade Chart taken from BS5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations.

Hillside Trees Ltd 2 Hillside, Bowden Hill, Chilcompton, Radstock, BA3 4EN Tel: 01761 233244 E: enquiries@hillsidetrees.co.uk

Directors: A Rankine BSc (Forestry), Tech Cert (Arbor A), ProfArborA, S J Rankine BSc (Hons), Dip Arb L4, TechArborA

### Appendix A - Tree Schedule

Client:

Surveyor:

Date of Survey:

Westhide Solar Site, Westhide, Hereford

Ersun (Westhide SPV) Ltd

Alister Rankine

30th March 2021



Tree Number	Single or Group	Number in group	Common Name	Scientific Name	Height (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	Crown Clearance (m)	N - Radius (m)	S - Radius (m)	E - Radius (m)	W - Radius (m)	Age Class	Physiological Condition	ULE (Years)	Tree Structural Condition and Site Notes	BS Category
T1	S		Black poplar	Populus nigra	18	1250	1	15.00	3	4	4	7	2	Μ	F	40+	Fair	B3
T2	S		Black poplar	Populus nigra	20	1250	1	15.00	4	6	5	5	4	Μ	F	40+	Fair	B3
T3	S		Black poplar	Populus nigra	22	1250	1	15.00	4	7	7	6	7	Μ	F	40+	Fair	B3
T4	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	20	1250	1	15.00	4	7	7	8	8	Μ	Р	<10	Poor	U
T5	S		Oak	Quercus robur	17	850	1	10.20	6	6	7	7	7	Μ	G	40+	Good	B1/2
G6	G	2	Oak	Quercus robur	18	1000	1	12.00	5	7	7	7	7	Μ	G	40+	Good	B1/2
T7	S		Oak	Quercus robur	18	1200	1	14.40	6	6	7	6	8	Μ	G	40+	Good	B1/2
W8	W		Oak, ash	Quercus robur, Fraxinus excelsior	15	500	1	6.00	4	4	4	4	4	EM	F	40+	Fair	C2
T9	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	10	350	1	4.20	3	3	3	3	3	Μ	Р	<10	Poor	U
T10	S		Oak	Quercus robur	15	740	1	8.88	3	4	4	4	4	V	F	40+	Fair	B3
G11	G	2	Hawthorn	Crataegus monogyna	9	300	1	3.60	4	1	1	1	1	Μ	F	10-20	Fair	C1
T12	S		Oak	Quercus robur	20	1070	1	12.84	7	5	5	5	5	Μ	G	40+	Good	A1/2
T13	S		Oak	Quercus robur	18	1000	1	12.00	6	8	8	8	8	Μ	G	40+	Good	A1/2
G14	G	4	Oak	Quercus robur	20	1250	1	15.00	8	8	8	8	8	Μ	G	40+	Good	B1/2
T15	S		Oak	Quercus robur	17	1200	1	14.40	6	5	5	5	5	Μ	G	40+	Good	B1/2
T16	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	19	770	1	9.24	5	5	6	7	7	Μ	Р	<10	Poor	U
T17	S		Oak	Quercus robur	20	850	1	10.20	9	8	4	7	4	Μ	F	40+	Fair	C1
T18	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	18	700	1	8.40	6	6	6	6	6	Μ	Р	<10	Poor	U
T19	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	19	1250	1	15.00	6	7	7	8	6	V	F	<10	Fair	B3
T20	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	20	1250	1	15.00	3	7	7	7	7	Μ	G	10-20	Good	A3
T21	S		Crack willow	Salix fragilis	18	1250	1	15.00	7	9	4	1	6	Μ	Р	<10	Poor	U
G22	G	3	Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	22	900	1	10.80	7	7	7	7	7	Μ	F	<10	Fair	U
T23	S		Black poplar	Populus nigra		1250	1	15.00						V		40+		B3
G24	G	2	Black poplar	Populus nigra	19	1200	1	14.40	5	10	4	10	10	Μ	F	40+	Fair	B3
T25	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	11	600	1	7.20	3	2	3	3	2	Μ	Р	<10	Poor	U
T26	S		Black poplar	Populus nigra	22	1250	1	15.00	5	8	8	8	8	Μ	G	40+	Good	A1\2\3
T27	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	11	1100	1	13.20	2	4	4	4	4	V	F	<10	Fair	B3
T28	S		Oak	Quercus robur	21	980	1	11.76	3	7	7	7	7	Μ	G	40+	Good	B1/2

Tree Number	Single or Group	Number in group	Common Name	Scientific Name	Height (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	Crown Clearance (m)	N - Radius (m)	S - Radius (m)	E - Radius (m)	W - Radius (m)	Age Class	Physiological Condition	ULE (Years)	Tree Structural Condition and Site Notes	BS Category
G29	G	7	Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	14	500	1	6.00	5	4	4	4	4	М	Р	<10	Poor	U
T30	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	18	700	1	8.40	6	6	6	6	10	М	Р	<10	Poor	U
T31	S		Oak	Quercus robur	18	950	1	11.40	3	7	7	7	7	Μ	G	40+	Good	B1/2
T32	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	23	1050	1	12.60	8	3	7	4	3	Μ	Р	<10	Poor	U
W33	W		Mixed deciduous. Mainly oak and ash		22	700	1	8.40	7	7	7	7	7	М	F	40+	Fair	C2
T34	S		Oak	Quercus robur	18	950	1	11.40	5	6	6	6	6	Μ	G	40+	Good	B1/2
T35	S		Oak	Quercus robur	17	910	1	10.92	5	6	6	6	6	Μ	G	40+	Good	B1/2
G36	G	5	Crack willow	Salix fragilis	18	700	1	8.40	4	7	7	7	7	Μ	Р	10-20	Poor	C1
G37	G	2	Oak	Quercus robur	18	1000	1	12.00	8	11	11	11	11	М	G	40+	Good	B1/2
T38	S		Oak	Quercus robur	16	990	1	11.88	2	8	8	8	8	М	G	40+	Good	A1/2
G39	G	2	Goat willow	Salix caprea	12	400	1	4.80	3	3	3	3	3	Μ	F	10-20	Fair	C1
T40	S		Oak	Quercus robur	17	880	1	10.56	4	6	6	6	6	Μ	F	40+	Fair	B1/2
T41	S		Oak	Quercus robur	17	850	1	10.20	6	6	6	6	6	М	G	40+	Good	B1/2
W42	S		Mixed deciduous		21	800	1	9.60	5	6	6	6	6	М	F	40+	Fair	C2
T43	S		Oak	Quercus robur	17	940	1	11.28	4	9	9	9	9	М	G	40+	Good	B1/2
T44	S		Oak	Quercus robur	18	1250	1	15.00	4	8	8	8	8	M	G	40+	Good	B1/2
T45	S		Oak	Quercus robur	20	1210	1	14.52	7	8	8	8	8	М	G	40+	Good	B1/2
T46	S		Oak	Quercus robur	18	870	1	10.44	7	6	6	6	6	M	G	40+	Good	B1/2
T47	S	_	Crack willow	Salix fragilis	17	850	1	10.20	6	5	3	4	4	M	F	10-20	Fair	C1
G48	G	3	Crack willow	Salix fragilis	19	600	1	7.20	7	8	8	8	8	M	F	10-20	Fair	C2
T49	S		Oak	Quercus robur	15	550	1	6.60	4	3	5	4	4	M	F	40+	Fair	C2
150	S		Oak	Quercus robur	16	920	1	11.04	4	4	4	4	4	M	F	40+	Fair	C2
151	S		Oak	Quercus robur	18	790	1	9.48	6	(	1	4	4	M		40+	Fair	C2
152	5		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	17	750	1	9.00	3	3	4	2	3	IVI	P	<10	Poor	0
153	3		Ash		19	720	1	8.64	5	6	6	6	6	IVI	F	<10	Fair	U D4/0
154	5		Oak		17	720	1	8.64	4	0	0	0 7	0		G	40+	Good	B1/2
100	3		Oak Mixed desidueue	Quercus robur	10	500	1	9.60	0	1	1	1	1		G	40+	Good	D1/2
T57	۷۷ ۲			Quercus robur	12	1020	1	12.24	5	4	4	4	4		F	40+	Fall	C2
T58	с С		Oak	Quercus robur	17	010	1	10.02	6	4	6	4	6	M	G	40+	Good	B1/2
T50	5		Oak	Quercus robur	10	1060	1	12 72	6	a	a	a	a	M	G	40+	Good	Δ1/2
T60	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	20	960	1	11 52	7	5	5	4	8	M	F	<10	Fair	11
T61	S		Oak	Quercus robur	17	1020	1	12.24	6	6	6	6	6	M	G	40+	Good	B1/2
G62	G	8	2 x Lawson cypress, 6 x red oak, 1 x oak	Chamaecyparis lawsoniana, Quercus rubra, Quercus robur	17	490	1	5.88	5	2	3	6	2	EM	F	40+	Fair	C1
G63	G	9	Crack willow	Salix fragilis	19	950	1	11.40	5	6	6	6	6	М	Р	10-20	Poor	C1
T64	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	17	1010	1	12.12	6	4	5	6	3	М	Р	<10	Poor	U

Tree Number	Single or Group	Number in group	Common Name	Scientific Name	Height (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	Crown Clearance (m)	N - Radius (m)	S - Radius (m)	E - Radius (m)	W - Radius (m)	Age Class	Physiological Condition	ULE (Years)	Tree Structural Condition and Site Notes	BS Category
T65	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	15	400	1	4.80	6	3	4	0	6	М	Р	<10	Poor	U
T66	S		Crack willow	Salix fragilis	18	1250	2	15.00	4	4	6	8	4	M	Р	<10	Poor	U
T67	S		Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	11	547	2	6.56	4	3	3	3	3	EM	F	<10	Fair	U
T68	S		Hawthorn	Crataegus monogyna	8	350	1	4.20	4	2	2	2	2	М	F	10-20	Fair	C1

TREES FOR REMOVAL											
Category and definition	and definition Criteria										
Category U Those in such condition that they cannot realistically b retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	<ul> <li>ategory U</li> <li>nose in such condition that they annot realistically b retained as ring trees in the context of the urrent land use for longer than 10 ears</li> <li>Trees that have a serious, irremedial, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning)</li> <li>Tree that are dead or show signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline</li> <li>Trees infected by pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing other trees of better quality</li> <li>NOTE Category U trees can have existing potential conservation value which might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7</li> </ul>										
TREES TO BE CONSIDERED FO	DR RETENTION										
Category and definition	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	Criteria - Subcategories 2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation	Identification on plan							
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue	Trees groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture	LIGHT GREEN RGB code: 000-255-000 AutoCAD 90							
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that thay attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value	MID BLUE RGB code: 000-000-255 AutoCAD 170							
<b>Category C</b> Trees of ow quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value	<b>GREY</b> RGB code: 091-091-091 AutoCAD 252							

#### Table 1 – Cascade chart for tree quality assessment



## **Detailed Arboricultural Method Statement**

Hillside Trees Ltd 2 Hillside, Bowden Hill, Chilcompton, Radstock, BA3 4EN Tel: 01761 233244 E: enquiries@hillsidetrees.co.uk

Directors: A Rankine BSc (Forestry), Tech Cert (Arbor A), ProfArborA, S J Rankine BSc (Hons), Dip Arb L4, TechArborA